ADAMS, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,) CASE NOS. 1:03CR486)
V.)
CALVIN CAVER,) Judge John R. Adams
Defendant.)) ORDER
)

Pending before the Court is Defendant's motion for compassionate release (Doc. 826), his motion to expedite that motion (Doc. 834), and a supplement (Doc. 859). Upon review, the motions are DENIED.

Within the COVID-19 backdrop, the Sixth Circuit explained this Court's duties and obligations when considering a motion for compassionate release as follows:

"In resolving those motions, district courts now face two questions: (1) whether extraordinary and compelling circumstances merit a sentence reduction; and (2) whether the applicable § 3553(a) factors warrant such a reduction. A third consideration, the § 1B1.13 policy statement, is no longer a requirement courts must address in ruling on defendant-filed motions." *Hampton*, 985 F.3d at 531. To that end, district courts need not confine themselves to evaluating "extraordinary and compelling reasons" as defined by the Sentencing Commission in the § 1B1.13 policy statement. *Elias*, 984 F.3d at 519.

United States v. Montero, 842 F. App'x 1007, 1008 (6th Cir. 2021). "A district court has 'full discretion' in determining whether an extraordinary and compelling reason justifies compassionate release." *Id.* at 1009.

The Sixth Circuit has noted that a district court does not abuse its discretion when denying

Case: 1:03-cr-00486-JRA Doc #: 904 Filed: 01/23/24 2 of 2. PageID #: 4460

a motion when the prison facility at issue has no positive cases or otherwise has COVID under

control. See United States v. Elias, 984 F.3d 516, 521 (6th Cir. 2021) (finding that it was not an

abuse of discretion to deny compassionate release when Alderson had no reported cases and

therefore only presented a speculative risk to the movant).

The Court notes that Defendant is currently housed at FCI Beaumont, a facility that

currently has no positive cases within its entire inmate population. Accordingly, Defendant's

motion for compassionate release presents only a speculative risk. The motion for compassionate

release is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

January 23, 2024

Date

/s/John R. Adams

JOHN R. ADAMS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2